## § 640.5 Criteria for compliance.

The criteria in the schedule below shall apply in determining whether, in the administration of a State law, there has been substantial compliance with the provision required by section 303(a)(1) in the issuance of benefit payments to eligible claimants for the first compensable weeks of unemployment in their benefit years:

mene m enen bener	ire year	<b>.</b>	
	Percentage of first payments issued—days following end of first compensable week		
	14 days, waiting week States	21 days, non- waiting week States 1	35 days, all States
INTRAST	ATE CLAIMS		
Performance to be achieved for the 12-mo. period ending:			
Mar. 31, 1978 Mar. 31, 1979 Mar. 31, 1980, and there-	80 283	80 283	²90
after	87	87	93
INTERST	ATE CLAIMS		
Performance to be achieved for the 12-mo. period ending:			
Mar. 31, 1978 Mar. 31, 1979 Mar. 31, 1980, and there-	60 <sup>2</sup> 65	60 <sup>2</sup> 65	275
after	70	70	78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A nonwaiting week State is any State whose law does not require that a non-compensable period of unemployment be served before the payment of benefits commences. <sup>2</sup>Beginning with the month following the effective date of this revised regulation.

A State will be deemed to comply substantially, as set out in §§ 640.2(b) and 640.3(b), if its average performance, for the period of review, meets or exceeds the applicable criteria set forth above.

## § 640.6 Review of State compliance.

(a) Annual reviews. The administration of each State law shall be reviewed annually for compliance, as set out in §§ 640.2(b) and 640.3(b). Annual reviews shall be for the 12-month period ending on March 31 of each year. An annual review with respect to any State shall be based upon the monthly reports of performance submitted to the Department by the State agency, any special reports of performance submitted to the Department by the State agency, any benefit payment performance plan applicable to the period being reviewed, any study or anylysis

of performance relevant to the period being reviewed, and any other audit, study, or analysis as directed by the Department of Labor.

(b) Periodic review. The administration of any State law may be reviewed at any other time, when there is reason to believe that there may be failure of compliance as set out in §§ 640.2(b) and 640.3(b). Such a review shall be based upon the same elements as may be required for an annual review.

## §640.7 Benefit payment performance plans.

- (a) Annual plan. An annual benefit payment performance plan shall be submitted by a State agency to the Department of Labor when average performance over a 12-month period ending on March 31 of any year does not meet the criteria specified in §640.5. An annual plan shall be submitted by July 31 following the applicable March 31, and shall be a plan for the fiscal year that begins on the succeeding October 1. An annual plan shall be subject to continuing appraisal during the period it is in effect, and shall be subject to modification from time to time as may be directed by the Department of Labor after consultation with the State agency
- (b) Periodic plan. A periodic benefit payment performance plan shall be submitted by a State agency when directed by the Department of Labor. A periodic plan may be in addition to, or a modification of an annual plan and may be required even though an annual plan covering the same period is not required. A periodic plan shall be subject to continuing appraisal during the period it is in effect, and shall be subject to modification from time to time as may be directed by the Department of Labor.
- (c) Content of plan. An annual plan or periodic plan shall set forth such corrective actions, performance and evaluation plans, and other matters as the Department of Labor directs, after consultation with the State agency.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1205-0132)

(Pub. L. No. 96-511)

[43 FR 33225, July 28, 1978, as amended at 49 FR 18295, Apr. 30, 1984]